

ASPIRE 6.

Overall indicators

Global Index


Pre-course and Administration

Learning Outcomes

Course structure and environment

Faculty


Overall satisfaction



Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol


Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.


Mean value is within the quality guideline.

2. Course evaluation


2.1) The course was suitable for my needs




2.2) The course administration was effective: Pre-course (e.g. joining instructions, programme, directions to centre)




2.3) The learning outcomes of the course were clearly defined




2.4) I achieved the learning outcomes of the course




2.5) The course was held in a suitable environment




2.6) The delivery methods were appropriate




2.7) The structure of the course programme was appropriate




2.8) The ratio of faculty was sufficient



2.9) The faculty demonstrated the appropriate level of knowledge and skills to deliver the learning outcomes




2.10) The faculty provided enough feedback on the day to ensure I got maximum value from the course




3. Satisfaction

3.1) How do you rate your overall satisfaction?



3.2) How do you feel about the length of the course?



3.3) Would you recommend the course to others?



3.4) Would you attend another course with the same faculty?



2. Course evaluation

2.1) The course was suitable for my needs	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.5
2.2) The course administration was effective: Pre-course (e.g. joining instructions, programme, directions to centre)	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.7	md=5	dev.=0.8
2.3) The learning outcomes of the course were clearly defined	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.6	md=5	dev.=0.9
2.4) I achieved the learning outcomes of the course	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.6	md=5	dev.=0.7
2.5) The course was held in a suitable environment	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.9	md=5	dev.=0.3
2.6) The delivery methods were appropriate	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.4
2.7) The structure of the course programme was appropriate	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.7	md=5	dev.=0.8
2.8) The ratio of faculty was sufficient	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.7	md=5	dev.=0.8
2.9) The faculty demonstrated the appropriate level of knowledge and skills to deliver the learning outcomes	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.9	md=5	dev.=0.3
2.10) The faculty provided enough feedback on the day to ensure I got maximum value from the course	Strongly Disagree								Strongly Agree	n=18	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.5

3. Satisfaction

3.1) How do you rate your overall satisfaction?	Very Dissatisfied								Very Satisfied	n=18	av.=4.7	md=5	dev.=0.7
---	-------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----------------	------	---------	------	----------

Pre-course and Administration	-								+	av.=4.7	dev.=0.8
Learning Outcomes	-								+	av.=4.6	dev.=0.7
Course structure and environment	-								+	av.=4.8	dev.=0.4
Faculty	-								+	av.=4.8	dev.=0.5
Overall satisfaction	-								+	av.=4.8	dev.=0.6

4. Comments

4.1) If there were any areas of the course that you thought were particularly strong or weak, or that were not covered in the questions, please record your comments below

- Amazing faculty
Loads of experience
- Cadaveric dissection felt hap-hazard: no clear defined goals as to what we should have been achieving most of the time "do what you want." A demonstration before hand from faculty would have been helpful. Would have been helpful to have more cadavers/students and more faculty as well.
- Excellent course and faculty
- Excellent guidance and opportunities to practice. Patient and knowledgeable faculty
- Great chance for unusual vascular exposures.
Good talk on venous disease.
- Hot foot would be nicer - some reading material for the different dissections before course
- Ratio of faculty was great, cadavers were brilliant. More vessel loops would have been useful. Reminder about eye protection before using saws.
- Thank you very much!